

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,993	03/10/2004	Wesley M. Mays	114944-00451 . 2242		
27557	7590 10/06/2006	•	EXAMINER		
BLANK ROME LLP 600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.			POPE, DARYL C		
	TON, DC 20037	·····	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·	·	2612		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-
- N
œr.
UI.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/795,993	MAYS, WESLEY M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DARYL C. POPE	2612		

	DARYL C. POPE	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	wallin the time period set forth in c	77 Of IC 41.57(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	•	•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	·	•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	et door NOT place the application in	a condition for allows.	b
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>SEE ATTACHMENT.</u>		i condition for allowar	ice decause:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
		DARYL C POPE	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Art Unit: 26/12

Part of Paner No. 20060926

Application/Control Number: 10/795,993

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/2006 and 9/25/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that the final office action dated 7/25/2006 is improper because examiner's new grounds of rejection of claims 10-16 would have obviated a final rejection. The examiner's alleged new grounds of rejection was not in fact a new ground of rejection, but instead was a rejection in support of a prior common knowledge finding in the office action dated 1/25/2006.

In accordance with MPEP 2144.03 section D., "If the examiner adds a reference in the next Office action after applicant's rebuttal, and the newly added reference is added only as directly corresponding evidence to support the prior common knowledge finding, and it does not result in a new issue or constitute a new ground of rejection, the Office action may be made final."

In view of this, the applicant's arguments, and as well request to withdraw final rejection is not deemed persuasive.

Conclusion[®]

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is 571-272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MIKE HORABIK can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,993

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daryl C. Pope

September 26, 2006

DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner

-Art Unit 2612